Chiral Duals of Non-Chiral SUSY Gauge Theories

P. Pouliot

Department of Physics and Astronomy Rutgers University Piscataway, NJ 08855-0849, USA

We study N = 1 SUSY gauge theories in four dimensions with gauge group Spin(7) and N_f flavors of quarks in the spinorial representation. We find that in the range 6 < $N_f < 15$, this theory has a long distance description in terms of an $SU(N_f - 4)$ gauge theory with a symmetric tensor and N_f antifundamentals. As a spin-off, we obtain by deforming along a flat direction a dual description of the theories based on the exceptional gauge group G_2 with N_f fundamental flavors of quarks.

Over the past two years, it has become clear that supersymmetry renders possible the precise study of dynamical phenomena in quantum field theory. For recent reviews and lists of references, see [1,2]; for reviews to earlier work, see [3-6]. The outstanding idea in understanding N=1 SUSY theories is Duality [7]: a theory which is in a non-Abelian Coulomb phase at large distances may have an equivalent description in terms of a different gauge theory, with different gauge group and matter content. A complicated, strongly coupled theory might have a weakly coupled dual, and that allows the theory to be solved. This duality is a generalization of the Montonen-Olive duality [8,9] of extended supersymmetry.

In attempting to better understand the nature and generality of this N=1 non-Abelian duality, a number of examples have been found. One class of examples consists of SU(N), SO(N) and Sp(N) gauge theories with matter in the fundamental representations originally found in [7] and further studied in [7,10,11]. In a second class of examples, one considers a theory under some perturbation of the superpotential [12]. Its effect is to constrain the R-symmetry and to reduce the number of independent chiral operators. The number of examples of this type found so far is impressive [12-18], giving support to the idea that duality is the norm rather than a curiosity, at least for supersymmetric theories [2].

In this letter, we study new examples of duality, without requiring a perturbation by a superpotential. We encounter the situation that vector-like models have chiral duals. In a chiral supersymmetric gauge theory, the chiral superfields (seen as a large reducible representation) do not fall in a real representation of the gauge group. Examples of duality in chiral models have been found in [15,18]. There, the chiral theories had chiral duals. Nevertheless, there is nothing wrong a priori in a non-chiral theory having a chiral dual. As in [7], we are unable to prove rigorously that the theories we present are dual. However, we give a number of arguments that strongly support it to be the case. The presentation of our results follows closely that of Seiberg's seminal paper [7].

The theory that we study is based on the gauge group Spin(7), with N_f fundamental flavors of quarks, Q_f , $f = 1, ..., N_f$. This group is 21 dimensional and the adjoint representation has Casimir index 10; its spinorial representation is real and 8 dimensional and its Casimir index is 2. We refer to this theory as the 'electric' theory. The continuous global symmetry is $SU(N_f) \times U(1)_R$, where $U(1)_R$ is an R-symmetry. The quantum numbers of the superfields are listed below:

$$Spin(7) \quad SU(N_f) \quad U(1)_R$$

$$Q \quad 8 \quad N_f \quad 1 - \frac{5}{N_f}.$$

$$(1)$$

The behavior of this theory for $N_f \leq 6$ is standard [3,19,20], so we will only briefly state the results. The independent gauge invariant operators built out of Q_f are a symmetric tensor $M = Q^2$ (mesons), and a totally antisymmetric 4-index tensor $B = Q^4$ (baryons, for $N_f \geq 4$). Classically, there are exactly flat directions of the potential, labeled by the expectation value of Q_f , and along which the theory follows a

$$Spin(7) \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2) \rightarrow 1$$
 (2)

pattern of symmetry breaking. Using holomorphy and the symmetries, we find that for $N_f \leq 3$, a superpotential is generated dynamically:

$$W_{dyn} = \left(\frac{\Lambda^{15-N_f}}{\det M}\right)^{1/(5-N_f)},\tag{3}$$

where Λ is the dynamical scale of the theory. For $N_f = 4$ however, the superpotential is determined by holomorphy and the symmetries only up to some function of det M/B^2 . Observing that when det $M - B^2 = 0$, an SU(2) subgroup of Spin(7) remains unbroken classically, it follows by the techniques of [21] that the superpotential has a pole and thus takes the form:

$$\frac{\Lambda^{11}}{\det M - B^2} \tag{4}$$

(in this expression and elsewhere, for the sake of clarity, we do not write explicitly the numerical coefficients (e.g. permutation symmetry factors) but it is a straightforward matter to determine them). This superpotential is generated by instantons while (3) is generated by gaugino condensation (for $N_f \leq 3$). These theories do not have a ground state. For $N_f \geq 5$, there is no dynamically generated superpotential. For $N_f = 5$, the fields are classically constrained by the equation $\det M - M_{ij}B^iB^j = 0$, where $B^i = \epsilon^{ijklm}B_{jklm}$; however, this constraint is modified by instanton effects to $\det M - M_{ij}B^iB^j = \Lambda^{10}$. For $N_f \geq 6$, the quantum moduli space of vacua is the same as the classical one. This can be seen by turning on a mass term tr mM and adding it to the expression (3). The equations of motion imply $\langle M_{ij} \rangle = (\Lambda^{15-N_f} \det m)^{1/5} (m^{-1})_{ij}$ (and $\langle B \rangle = 0$), so that by taking various limits $m \to 0$, all classical values of M with B = 0 can be obtained. For $N_f = 6$, the expectation values of M and B are constrained by

$$\det M(M^{-1})^{ij} - M_{kl}B^{ik}B^{jl} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad M_{ik}M_{jl}B^{kl} + \epsilon_{ijklmn}B^{kl}B^{mn} = 0, \quad (5)$$

where $B^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijklmn} B_{klmn}$. It can be checked that these constraints properly reduce along the SU(3) flat direction to the constraints on the mesons and baryons of SU(3) with

4 fundamental flavors [20]. Quantum mechanically however, the theory confines and is described by the unconstrained fields M and B with a superpotential proportional to

$$\det M - M_{ik} M_{jl} B^{ij} B^{kl} - \operatorname{Pf} B, \tag{6}$$

whose equations of motion reproduce the constraints (5). This effective theory of mesons and baryons satisfies the 't Hooft anomaly matching conditions at the origin M = B = 0 where the global symmetry is unbroken.

For $7 \leq N_f \leq 14$, the theory at the origin of the moduli space is in an interacting non-Abelian Coulomb phase: the degrees of freedom are the electric quarks and gluons in the infrared. This is clear since for $N_f \geq 7$, there is a flat direction along which the group is broken to SU(3) with $5 \leq N_f - 2$ flavors remaining and these theories are known [20,7] to be in a non-Abelian Coulomb phase. The main claim of this paper is that in the range $7 \leq N_f \leq 14$, the electric theory described above has an equivalent description in the extreme infrared in terms of a different, 'dual' gauge theory. We refer to this theory as magnetic and without further ado, state its content. It is an $SU(N_f - 4)$ gauge theory with a symmetric tensor s, antifundamental quarks q^f , $f = 1, \ldots, N_f$ and mesons M, whose transformation properties under the gauge and global symmetries are:

$$SU(N_f - 4) SU(N_f) U(1)_R$$

$$q \overline{N_f - 4} \overline{N_f} \frac{5}{N_f} - \frac{1}{N_{f-4}}$$

$$s \frac{(N_f - 4)(N_f - 3)}{2} 1 \frac{2}{N_f - 4}$$

$$M 1 \frac{N_f(N_f + 1)}{2} 2 - \frac{10}{N_f}.$$

$$(7)$$

These fields have interactions dictated by the superpotential

$$\frac{1}{\mu^2} M_{fg} q^{fa} q^{gb} s_{ab} + \frac{1}{\mu^{N_f - 7}} \det s \tag{8}$$

where μ is a dimensionful parameter that is required to give the superpotential a dimension of 3, since we take the dimension of M to be the one at the ultraviolet fixed point of the electric theory [10]. From this point on, we will set $\mu = 1$. Using the techniques of [19], it follows that the unperturbed magnetic superpotential does not receive quantum corrections. As in [7], we are unable to prove that this magnetic theory is dual to the Spin(7) theory with N_f flavors; however, we provide below a number of consistency checks, the sum of which we consider to be strong evidence for duality.

The $U(1)_R$ symmetry so defined is anomaly free. Moreover the 't Hooft anomaly matching conditions are satisfied. They are, in both the electric and magnetic theories: $SU(N_f)^3: 8 ; SU(N_f)^2U(1)_R: \frac{-40}{N_f} ; U(1)_R: -19 ; U(1)_R^3: 21 - \frac{1000}{N_f^2}$.

Although one cannot talk about the particle spectrum of such interacting conformal field theories, there should be a one-to-one correspondence that preserves the symmetries between the gauge invariant operators of the electric and magnetic theories. Q^2 is naturally mapped to M and $B = Q^4$ is mapped to $b = q^{N_f - 4}$. Under this mapping, the symmetries are preserved. The gauge invariant operators q^2s and $\det s$ are redundant and vanish identically by the equations of motion.

For $N_f \geq 15$, the theory is not asymptotically free, therefore it is a free theory of gluons and quarks in the infrared. The magnetic description should not be valid there. To see this, note that when $N_f \geq 15$, the gauge invariant operator qqs has R-charge less than 2/3. By unitarity, it must be a free field if the magnetic theory is in a non-Abelian Coulomb phase. But there is certainly no field with such symmetry properties in the free electric theory, which confirms that the magnetic theory is very strongly coupled for $N_f \geq 15$. For $N_f = 7$, the R-charge of the meson M is 4/7 < 2/3, and thus must be a free field so that the theory be unitary; however the whole theory is not free. There is no value of N_f for which the theory is in a free magnetic phase. One might hope that this fact, which makes the analysis more difficult, is not a generic feature of chiral theories. Later we will give an example with a free magnetic description.

We now study the mass perturbations of the Spin(7) theory. Consider giving a mass mM_{N_f,N_f} to the last flavor of the Spin(7) theory with N_f flavors, $14 \geq N_f \geq 8$. In the infrared, the theory flows to a Spin(7) theory with $N_f = 1$ flavors. The effect of this perturbation on the magnetic $SU(N_f - 4)$ theory with N_f flavors can be found by studying the equations of motion following from the superpotential $mM_{N_f,N_f} + Mq^2s + s^{N_f - 4}$. The equation of motion for M_{N_f,N_f} is $\langle q^{N_f}q^{N_f}s\rangle = -m$. Thus q^{N_f} and s acquire expectation values. By a gauge transformation, we can take $\langle q^{N_f}\rangle = (0,\ldots,0,q)$, with $\langle s_{N_f-4,N_f-4}\rangle \neq 0$ and all other VEVs of M, s and q vanishing. It is clear that all the equations of motion are then satisfied. Thus the magnetic group is higgsed to $SU(N_f - 5)$. Along the way, $2N_f - 9$ fields have been eaten by the super-Higgs mechanism. They are the $2N_f - 8$ fields $s_{N_f-4,i}$ and $q^{N_f,i}$, $i=1,\ldots N_f-4$, from which one field is subtracted because of the constraint $\langle q^{N_f}q^{N_f}s\rangle = -m$. The superpotential becomes $Mq^2s + s^{N_f-5}$, where now the (suppressed) flavor indices run from 1 to $N_f - 1$ and a scale $\langle s_{N_f-4,N_f-4}\rangle$ has been absorbed by a redefinition of s and q. This perturbed magnetic theory is just an $SU(N_f - 5)$

with $N_f - 1$ flavors and with the appropriate superpotential to be precisely the dual of the Spin(7) theory with $N_f - 1$ flavors.

A more careful analysis is required when $N_f=7$. Giving a mass mM_{77} to the electric quarks, the magnetic theory is higgsed to SU(2), with 6 doublets (3 flavors) \hat{q}^{if} and a triplet \hat{s}^{ij} and the mesons \hat{M}_{fg} $(f,g=1,\ldots 6;\ i,j=1,2)$. The dual superpotential becomes $\hat{s}^2 + \hat{M}\hat{q}\hat{q}\hat{s}$. The field \hat{s} is massive and should be integrated out. The resulting SU(2) theory with 3 flavors is known to confine [20], and to consist of a theory of mesons $\hat{b}^{fg}=\hat{q}^{fi}\hat{q}^{gj}\hat{s}_{ij}$. Therefore the dual superpotential should be rewritten in terms of these meson fields and of \hat{M}_{fg} . The strong SU(2) dynamics produces a superpotential Pf \hat{b} . The result of integrating out \hat{s} is a contribution $(\hat{M}_{fg}\hat{q}^{fi}\hat{q}^{gj})(\hat{M}_{f'g'}\hat{q}^{f'i}\hat{q}^{g'j})=\hat{M}_{fg}\hat{M}_{f'g'}\hat{b}^{ff'}\hat{b}^{gg'}$. We also add a new contribution $\det \hat{M}$, which is consistent with the symmetries. We have not identified the dynamical mechanism generating it. After identifying the mesons \hat{b} to the baryons B of the confining Spin(7), $N_f=6$ theory, the superpotential that results is $\det M-MMBB-$ Pf B which is the correct superpotential (6). Therefore, by integrating out a quark from the Spin(7), $N_f=7$ theory, both the electric and magnetic theories flow to the confining Spin(7), $N_f=6$ theory. And then, by giving masses and integrating out quarks from this $N_f=6$ theory, all the results listed above for $N_f<6$ are recovered.

We now study a dual pair which follows from our results for Spin(7). We consider taking as the electric theory a theory with gauge group $SU(N_f - 4) = SU(N_c)$, $N_c \ge 3$, and with N_f fundamentals Q and a conjugate symmetric tensor S. Along the lines of [12], we add a superpotential det S to this electric theory. Its field content and symmetries are

$$SU(N_c) \quad SU(N_c + 4) \quad U(1)_R$$

$$Q \quad N_c \quad N_c + 4 \quad \frac{5}{N_c + 4} - \frac{1}{N_c}$$

$$S \quad \frac{N_c(N_c + 1)}{2} \quad 1 \quad \frac{2}{N_c}.$$
(9)

It turns out that this theory is much easier to analyze than when Spin(7) was the electric theory. The magnetic theory is trivially determined to be:

$$Spin(7) \quad SU(N_c + 4) \qquad U(1)_R$$

$$q \quad 8 \qquad \overline{N_c + 4} \qquad 1 - \frac{5}{N_c + 4}$$

$$M \quad 1 \qquad \frac{(N_c + 4)(N_c + 5)}{2} \qquad \frac{10}{N_c + 4},$$

$$(10)$$

with the simple superpotential Mqq. The R-symmetry is anomaly free and the 't Hooft anomaly matching conditions are satisfied. The gauge invariant chiral operators are in

one-to-one correspondence: $M = QQS \to M$, $Q^{N_c} \to q^4$; qq and $\det S$ are redundant. In both theories, there is no quantum corrections to the unperturbed superpotentials. When $N_c \geq 11$, we find that this electric theory with a symmetric tensor and fundamentals is at very strong coupling, but that it has a magnetic description which is free.

To analyze the flat directions of the electric theory, it is convenient to use the most general solution of the D-terms for S and Q found in [4]:

$$Q_{if} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & & & \\ & Q_2 & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & Q_{N_c} \end{pmatrix} \qquad S = \operatorname{diag}(S_1, \dots, S_{N_c}) \tag{11}$$

where $|Q_i|^2 - |S_i|^2 = \text{constant for } i = 1, ..., N_c$. This is easily seen by first diagonalizing the hermitian matrix $S^{\dagger ik}S_{kj}$.

It is necessary for consistency that the flat directions of the dual theories be precisely the same. From the equation of motion for S, we find that S, and thus M=QQS, have rank at most N_c-2 in the electric theory. It is easy to see that M in the magnetic theory also does not have rank larger than N_c-2 . If its rank were larger than N_c-1 , the dual Spin(7) theory, after integrating out the massive dual quarks, would have less than 5 dual quarks remaining. This Spin(7) theory generates the superpotential (3) which removes all the vacua. If its rank were N_c-1 , the Spin(7) theory with 5 remaining dual quarks would have to obey the constraint $\det N - Nbb = \widetilde{\Lambda}^{10}$, with N = qq and $b = q^4$; however, N = 0 by the equation of motion for M. If the rank of M is less than N_c-1 , the dual Spin(7) theory keeps its classical flat directions as explained earlier. This shows that the mesonic flat directions are the same in the electric and magnetic theories. To summarize this example, a chiral theory with a superpotential has a simpler, vector-like dual.

We consider now the deformation of the Spin(7) electric theory along its G_2 flat direction. Essential results on the group theory of G_2 will be obtained with little work. We only need to know that G_2 is the subgroup of Spin(7) left unbroken when a spinor gets a VEV, that its fundamental representation is 7 dimensional and its adjoint 14 dimensional. Starting with Spin(7) with $N_f + 1$ flavors, Q_0 and Q_i , $i = 1, ..., N_f$, consider giving an expectation value to the meson $\langle M_{00} \rangle \neq 0$, while other M have vanishing expectation value. The electric theory is G_2 with N_f flavors of quarks Q, in the 7 dimensional fundamental representation of G_2 and transforming as

$$G_2 \quad SU(N_f) \quad U(1)_R$$

$$Q \quad 7 \qquad N_f \qquad 1 - \frac{4}{N_f}.$$
(12)

Since the spinor decomposes as 8 = 1 + 7 and the adjoint as 21 = 14 + 7, the Casimir index of the 7 of G_2 is 2, while that of the 14 of G_2 is 10 - 2 = 8. Thus this model is asymptotically free for $N_f < 12$. We now study the effect of the $\langle M_{00} \rangle$ perturbation on the dual. From the equation of motion for q^i , $M_{i0} = 0$. The superpotential becomes

$$q^0 q^0 s + s^{N_f - 3} + Mqqs, (13)$$

where we have absorbed the scale $\langle M_{00} \rangle$ in the definition of $q^0 \equiv q^{N_f+1}$. Thus, the dual of G_2 with N_f flavors consists of the fields q, q^0 , s and M transforming as

$$SU(N_f - 3) SU(N_f) U(1)_R$$

$$q \overline{N_f - 3} \overline{N_f} \frac{3}{N_f} (1 - \frac{1}{N_f - 3})$$

$$q^0 \overline{N_f - 3} 1 1 - \frac{1}{N_f - 3}$$

$$s \frac{(N_f - 3)(N_f - 2)}{2} 1 \frac{2}{N_f - 3}$$

$$M 1 \frac{N_f(N_f + 1)}{2} 2 - \frac{8}{N_f}.$$

$$(14)$$

In a similar way to what we discussed for Spin(7), a number of consistency checks of the duality $G_2 - SU(N_f - 3)$ can be performed. The R-symmetry is anomaly free, the 't Hooft anomaly matching conditions are satisfied, etc. Consider integrating out flavors from the electric theory with N_f flavors. When $N_f \geq 7$, the effect on the dual is to higgs the gauge group $SU(N_f-3) \to SU(N_f-4)$, with the dual quark q^{N_f} becoming massive. The case $N_f = 6$ deserves more attention. The dual SU(2) theory that is obtained has six doublets q^0 and q^i , i = 1, ..., 5. Its physics is that of confinement. The correct degrees of freedom in the infrared are the mesons $B^i = q^0 q^i$ and $A^{ij} = q^i q^j$ along with M_{ij} . Since by duality this describes the G_2 theory with 5 flavors, we learn that the independent gauge invariant chiral operators of G_2 are a 2-index symmetric tensor M of $SU(N_f)$, a 3-index totally antisymmetric tensor A and a 4-index totally antisymmetric tensor B. Define an antisymmetric tensor V by $V^{ij}=A^{ij}, i,j=1,\ldots,5, V^{i6}=B^i, i=1,\ldots,5.$ The SU(2) dynamics gives a contribution to the superpotential of Pf $V = \epsilon_{ijklm} B^i A^{jk} A^{lm}$. Integrating out s, which is massive, produces two more terms $M_{ij}M_{kl}A^{ik}A^{jl} + M_{ij}B^{i}B^{j}$, and we also add det M, allowed by the symmetries, as in the Spin(7) case with $N_f = 7$ discussed above. The resulting superpotential

$$\det M + M_{ij}M_{kl}A^{ik}A^{jl} + M_{ij}B^iB^j + \epsilon_{ijklm}B^iA^{jk}A^{lm}$$
(15)

describes the confining G_2 theory with 5 flavors whose equations of motion give the following constraints on the expectation values of M, A and B:

$$\det M(M^{-1})^{ij} + M_{kl}A^{ik}A^{jl} + B^{i}B^{j} = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$M_{ik}M_{jl}A^{kl} + \epsilon_{ijklm}B^{k}A^{lm} = 0 \quad M_{ij}B^{j} + \epsilon_{ijklm}A^{jk}A^{lm} = 0.$$
(16)

Adding mM_{55} to (15) to integrate out the fifth flavor, the equation of motion for M_{55} gives a constraint det $M^{(4)}+M_{ij}^{(4)}A^{(4)i}A^{(4)j}+B^{(4)}B^{(4)}=m$, where the label (4) refers to the subset of fields that belong to the effective theory with 4 flavors. We learn from this constraint that the quantum moduli space is smooth. Keeping M_{55} as a Lagrange multiplier, we obtain the superpotential $W_{(4)}=M_{55}(\det M^{(4)}+M^{(4)}A^{(4)}A^{(4)}+B^{(4)}B^{(4)}+m)$. Integrating out the fourth quark by adding mM_{44} , we obtain a superpotential $W_{(3)}=1/(\det M^{(3)}+(A^{(3)})^2)$. Had we carefully kept track of all the scales, we would have seen that this superpotential has the correct power of the dynamical scale of the electric theory to be generated by instantons. Integrating out the two other flavors lead to superpotentials $W_{(i)}=1/(\det M^{(i)})^{1/(4-i)}$, i=1,2. It is generated by gluino condensation in the SU(3) subgroup of G_2 for i=1 and in $SU(2) \in SU(3)$ for i=2. For i=1,2,3, there is no vacuum state. Integrating out all the quarks result in gluino condensation in the pure G_2 gauge theory.

As for future directions, it would be especially interesting, by going up a few more steps, to reach the SO(10) gauge theories with spinors, where the issues of grand unification, duality and dynamical supersymmetry breaking possibly meet.

After the completion of this work, we learned of references [22-24] which also examined some aspects of G_2 gauge theories.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank V. Brazhnikov, K. Intriligator, N. Seiberg and M. Strassler for useful discussions, and especially N. Seiberg for very valuable comments on the manuscript. This work was supported in part by DOE grant #DE-FG05-90ER40559 and by a Canadian 1967 Science fellowship.

References

- [1] N. Seiberg, the Power of Holomorphy Exact Results in 4D SUSY Field Theories, Proc. of PASCOS 94, hep-th/9408013, RU-94-64, IASSNS-HEP-94/57
- [2] N. Seiberg, the Power of Duality Exact Results in 4D SUSY Field Theories, Proc. of PASCOS 95 and Proc. of the Oskar Klein Lectures, hep-th/9506077, RU-95-37, IASSNS-HEP-95/46
- [3] I. Affleck, M. Dine and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B241 (1984) 493
- [4] I. Affleck, M. Dine and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B256 (1985) 557
- [5] A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov and M.A. Shifman, Sov. Phys. Usp. 28 (1985) 709
- [6] D. Amati, K. Konishi, Y. Meurice, G.C. Rossi and G. Veneziano, Phys. Rep. 162 (1988) 169
- [7] N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B435 (1995) 129
- [8] C. Montonen and D. Olive, Phys. Lett. 72B (1977) 117
- [9] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B426 (1994) 19; Nucl. Phys. B431 (1994) 484
- [10] K. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, hep-th/9503179, RU-95-3, IASSNS-HEP-95/5; hep-th/9506084, RU-95-40, IASSNS-HEP-95/48
- [11] K. Intriligator and P. Pouliot, hep-th/9505006, RU-95-23
- [12] D. Kutasov, hep-th/9503086, EFI-95-11
- [13] O. Aharony, J. Sonnenschein and S. Yankielowicz, hep-th/9504113, TAUP-2246-95, CERN-TH/95-91
- [14] D. Kutasov and A. Schwimmer, hep-th/9505004, EFI-95-20, WIS/4/95
- [15] M. Berkooz, hep-th/9505067, RU-95-29
- [16] K. Intriligator, hep-th/9505051, RU-95-27
- [17] R.G. Leigh and M.J. Strassler, hep-th/9505088, RU-95-30
- [18] K. Intriligator, R.G. Leigh and M.J. Strassler, hep-th/9506148, RU-95-38
- [19] N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. 318B (1993) 469
- [20] N. Seiberg, Phys. Rev. D49 (1994) 6857
- [21] K. Intriligator, R.G. Leigh and N. Seiberg, Phys. Rev. D50 (1994) 1092
- [22] E. Witten, unpublished
- [23] I. Pesando, hep-th/9506139, NORDITA-95/42 P
- [24] S.B. Giddings and J.M. Pierre, hep-th/9506196, UCSBTH-95-14